WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
 Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
 constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
 delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
 modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
 information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
 appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
 Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
 The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
 http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess and http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD

.....

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY

PROCEEDINGS AGAINST : FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

GARY H. PALUSZCYK, : LS0805283APP

RESPONDENT.

Division of Enforcement case file 07 APP 139

The parties to this action for the purpose of Wis. Stats. sec. 227.53 are:

Gary H. Paluszcyk N20 W29986 Glen Cove Rd. Pewaukee, WI 53072

Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708-8935

Department of Regulation and Licensing Division of Enforcement P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708-8935

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The parties in this matter agree to the terms and conditions of the attached Stipulation as the final disposition of this matter, subject to the approval of the Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board ("Board"). The Board has reviewed this Stipulation and considers it acceptable. Accordingly, the Board adopts the attached Stipulation in this matter and makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Gary H. Paluszcyk is licensed in the State of Wisconsin as a Real Estate Appraiser having license # 4-1862, first issued on November 3, 2004.
- 2. Mr. Paluszcyk's most recent address on file with the Department of Regulation and Licensing ("Department") is N20 W29986 Glen Cove Rd., Pewaukee, WI 53072.
- 3. On September 17, 2007, Mr. Paluszcyk applied to the Real Estate Appraisers Advisory Committee for review of his Appraisers Qualifying Board (AQB) compliant hours and he supplied copies of three appraisal reports as requested by the committee for review.
- 4. The appraisal report prepared by Mr. Paluszcyk for property at 2911 North 6th Street in Milwaukee contained numerous violations of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), as follow:

Standards Rule (S.R.) 1-4a Sales Comparison Approach

The adjustments made are not supported with market evidence; merely stating the amount of the adjustment does not constitute market support for the adjustment; in particular, a \$10 per square foot size adjustment seems too low, absent any support for the figure. For houses selling for \$90 to \$120 per square foot, the contributory value of incremental square footage is likely more than \$10 per square foot. Market support needs to be included to substantiate this \$10 per square foot adjustment.

S.R. 1-4b Cost Approach

Inadequate support is provided for site value. The heading in the report states:

"Support for opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)." It is inadequate for the appraiser to state "In estimating site value, the appraiser has relied on personal knowledge of the subject local market. This knowledge is based on assessed land values, prior and or current analysis of site sales and/or abstraction of site values from sales of improved properties." The indicated value by the Cost Approach (\$131,700) is substantially higher than the indicated value by the Sales Comparison Approach (\$114,700) and the concluded market value (\$114,000). For a 107-year old house with an effective age concluded at only 20-years suggests that the effective age is actually higher. Inadequate support is provided for the effective age conclusion.

S.R. 1-4c. Income Capitalization Approach

Market rent concluded at \$1,050 per month whereas \$1,200 was used in the Income Capitalization Approach. This discrepancy was not explained in the report. Using the market rent conclusion of \$1,050 and the concluded GRM of 105.57 results in an indicated value of \$111,000 which is substantially lower than the appraiser's indicated value of \$126,700 and much closer to the concluded market value of \$114,000. Actual rents were stated as not being available yet they were included in the Rent Schedule at \$600 per unit (\$1,200 total)

S.R. 1-5 Subject Listing and Sale Information

USPAP requires the analysis of all agreements of sale, options and listings as of the effective date of the appraisal as well as all sales within three (3) years of the appraisal date, not merely a statement that the property sold for a certain price.

The report states that the subject has not been sold or transferred within the 36 months prior to the appraisal date and then provides contradictory information pertaining to a May 2005 sale a \$72,500.

No analysis or explanation of the prior sale price versus the current market value was provided.

S.R. 2-1 Report

S.R. 2-1b states that the written report contain sufficient information to enable the intended users of the appraisal to understand the report properly. Overall, the report contained minimal descriptions of the reasoning and support for the adjustments, analysis, opinions and conclusions. The inclusion of additional addendum pages explaining the appraiser's reasoning and providing market support for adjustments would have strengthened the report and conclusion.

S.R. 2-2 Reporting Options

S.R. 2-2 states that an appraiser must supplement a report form, when necessary, to ensure that any intended user of the appraisal is not misled and that the report complies with the applicable content requirements set forth in USPAP. The report contained very little reasoning and analysis.

5. The appraisal report prepared by Mr. Paluszcyk for property at 1447 South 71st Street in West Allis contained numerous violations of USPAP, as follow:

S.R. 1-4a Sales Comparison Approach

The adjustments made were not supported with market evidence; merely stating the amount of the adjustment does not constitute market support for the adjustment.

S.R. 1-4b Cost Approach

Inadequate support is provided for site value. No comparables or analysis support for the land value was provided in the report. The heading in the report states: "Support for opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)." It is inadequate for the appraiser to state "In estimating site value, the appraiser has relied on personal knowledge of the subject local market. This knowledge is based on assessed land values, prior and or current analysis of site sales and/or abstraction of site values from sales of improved properties."

The indicated value by the Cost Approach (\$142,000) is substantially higher than the indicated value by the Sales Comparison Approach (\$131,000) and the concluded market value (\$131,000). For a 109-year old house with an effective age concluded at only 15-years suggests that the effective age is actually higher. Inadequate support provided for the effective age conclusion.

S.R. 1-5 Subject Listing and Sale Information

USPAP requires the analysis of all agreements of sale, options and listings as of the effective date of the appraisal as well as all sales within three (3) years of the appraisal date, not merely a statement that the property sold for a

certain price.

The subject property is reportedly under contract to be sold for \$121,500 and the list price was \$124,900; these compare to a market value conclusion of \$131,000. No analysis or explanation of the differential between the contracted sale price, list price and market value conclusion was provided.

S.R. 2-1 Report

S.R. 2-1b states that the written report contain sufficient information to enable the intended users of the appraisal to understand the report properly. Overall, the report contained minimal descriptions of the reasoning and support for the adjustments, analysis, opinions and conclusions. The inclusion of additional addendum pages explaining the appraiser's reasoning and providing market support for adjustments would have strengthened the report and conclusion.

S.R. 2-2 Reporting Options

S.R. 2-2 states that an appraiser must supplement a report form, when necessary, to ensure that any intended user of the appraisal is not misled and that the report complies with the applicable content requirements set forth in USPAP. The report contained very little reasoning and analysis.

6. The appraisal report prepared by Mr. Paluszcyk for property at N60 W23744 Juniper Lane in Sussex contained numerous violations of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), as follow:

S.R. 1-4a Sales comparison Approach

The adjustments made were not supported with market evidence; merely stating the amount of the adjustment does not constitute market support for the adjustment. In particular, a \$20 per square foot size adjustment seems too low, absent any support for the figure. For houses selling for \$140 to \$155 per square foot, the contributory value of incremental square footage is likely more than \$20 per square foot. Market support needs to be included to substantiate this \$20 per square foot adjustment.

S.R. 1-4b Cost Approach

Inadequate support is provided for site value. The heading in the report states:

"Support for opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value)." It is inadequate to the appraiser to state "In estimating site value, the appraiser has relied on personal knowledge of the subject local market. This knowledge is based on assessed land values, prior and or current analysis of site sales and/or abstraction of site values from sales of improved properties." The indicated value by the Cost Approach (\$349,000) is substantially higher than the indicated value by the Sales Comparison Approach (\$328,000) and the concluded market value (\$328,000). For a 17-year old house with an effective age concluded at on 5-years suggests that the effective age is actually higher. Inadequate support provided for the effective age conclusion.

S.R. 2-1 Report

S.R. 2-1b states that the written report contain sufficient information to enable the intended users of the appraisal to understand the report properly. Overall, the report contained minimal descriptions of the reasoning and support for the adjustments, analysis, opinions and conclusions. The inclusion of additional addendum pages explaining the appraiser's reasoning and providing market support for adjustments would have strengthened the report and conclusion.

S.R. 2-2 Reporting Options

S.R. 2-2 states that an appraiser must supplement a report form, when necessary, to ensure that any intended user of the appraisal is not misled and that the report complies with the applicable content requirements set forth in USPAP. The report contained very little reasoning and analysis.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board has jurisdiction to act in this matter pursuant to section 458.26 (3) of the Wisconsin Statutes and is authorized to enter into the attached Stipulation pursuant to sec. 227.44(5), Wis. Stats.
- 2. Respondent Gary H. Paluszcyk is subject to discipline for having violated USPAP in his appraisals and the preparation of his reports, under Wis. Stats. sec. 458.26 (b) and (c) and Wis. Adm. Code sec. RL 86.01 (1) and (2).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the attached Stipulation is hereby accepted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Gary H. Paluszcyk is hereby REPRIMANDED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within six months of the date of this Order, Gary H. Paluszcyk must successfully complete two of the following three courses:

- a course in Basic Appraisal Principles (approximately 30 hours),
- a course in Basic Appraisal Procedures (approximately 30 hours),
- a course in Residential Sales and Income Approach (approximately 30 hours),

including passing any final examination at the end of either course. The courses may be taken through the Appraisal Institute, the McKissock Real Estate Appraisal School, the Appraisal Education Academy, or another educational institution approved by the Department of Regulation and Licensing. Mr. Paluszcyk shall submit proof of successful completion in the form of verification from the institution providing the education to the following address:

Department Monitor

Department of Regulation and Licensing,

PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935.

Fax (608) 266-2264

Tel. (608) 261-7904

None of the education completed pursuant to this order may be used to satisfy any continuing education requirements that are or may be instituted by the Board or the Department of Regulation and Licensing.

In the event Mr. Paluszcyk fails to successfully complete the educational requirements in the manner set forth, or to obtain an extension of time for good cause, his Real Estate Appraisers license shall be suspended without further hearing and without further Order of the Board, and said suspension shall continue until further Order of the Board or until he provides to the Department proof of completion of all said educational requirements.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Gary H. Paluszcyk pay the Department's costs of this matter in the amount of \$543.72 within 60 days of the date of this Order. Payment shall be made by certified check or money order, payable to the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing and sent to the Department Monitor. In the event Mr. Paluszcyk fails to pay the costs within the time and in the manner as set forth above, his Real Estate Appraisers license shall be suspended without further notice, without further hearing, and without further Order of the Board, and said suspension shall continue until the full amount of said costs have been paid to the Department of Regulation and Licensing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that file 07 APP 139 be closed.

Dated this 28th day of May, 2008.

WISCONSIN REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD

By: Marla Britton

A member of the Board